Structure of $(+)_{589}$ -[1,2-Bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane]bis(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III) Tribromide Sesquihydrate, $[Co(C_2H_8N_2)_2(C_5H_{15}P_2)]Br_3 + \frac{3}{2}H_2O$

By Shigeru Ohba and Yoshihiko Saito

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Hiyoshi 3, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223, Japan

and Tomoji Ohishi, Kazuo Kashiwabara and Junnosuke Fujita

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464, Japan

(Received 29 April 1982; accepted 20 July 1982)

Abstract. $M_r = 596.0$, monoclinic, C2, a = 16.399 (2), b = 8.785 (1), c = 15.679 (2) Å, $\beta = 105.37$ (2)°, V = 2177.9 (4) Å³, Z = 4, $D_x = 1.82$ Mg m⁻³, λ (Mo K α) = 0.7107 A, μ (Mo K α) = 6.81 mm⁻¹. The structure was solved by the Patterson method and refined to a final R value of 0.058 for 3345 observed reflections. The absolute configuration of the complex ion can be designated as Λ . A *trans* influence of the P atoms on the Co–N bond distance was observed: the average distances between Co and the N atoms which are in *cis* and *trans* positions with respect to the coordinated P atoms are 1.987 (9) and 2.032 (8) Å, respectively.

Introduction. Optically active Co^{III} complexes containing alkylphosphine ligands were recently prepared to compare their spectral properties with those of the corresponding diamine Co^{III} complexes (Kinoshita, Kashiwabara, Fujita, Matsumoto & Ooi, 1981). Crystals of the title compound containing dmpe $[(CH_3)_2PCH_2CH_2P(CH_3)_2]$ have been subjected to X-ray crystal structure analysis in order to determine the absolute configuration of the complex ion and to establish the relationship between the absolute configuration and the circular-dichroism (CD) spectrum.

Experimental. Orange plate-like crystals, elongated along **b**, preliminary determination of lattice parameters and crystal symmetry (space group C2 from systematic absences: hkl, h + k = 2n) made with a Weissenberg goniometer, approximate dimensions of crystal $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$ mm, Rigaku automated four-circle diffractometer, graphite monochromator, cell parameters refined by least-squares methods on the basis of 13 independent 2θ values, Mo K α radiation ($31 < 2\theta < 39^{\circ}$, $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å); intensity measurement performed to $2\theta = 55^{\circ}$ (the $\pm h + k + l$ set), $\theta - 2\theta$ scan technique, scan speed 2° min⁻¹ (θ), $\pm h - k + l$ set also measured to $2\theta = 40^{\circ}$; 3737 reflections measured, 3345 intensities with $|F_o| > 3\sigma(|F_o|)$ considered observed and

used for the structure determination; during data collection intensities of three standard reflections decreased by 19% in $|F_o|$ owing to decay of the crystal, repeated measurements of standard reflections used to correct for the loss of scattering power; corrections for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption effects; minimum and maximum absorption corrections for $|F_{o}| = 1.77$ and 2.50, respectively; structure solved by Patterson and Fourier methods, refined by block-diagonal least squares with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-H atoms; H atoms located theoretically, those of water molecules from a difference density map; thermal parameters of H atoms not refined (fixed at 4 $Å^2$); $\sum w ||F_o| - |F_c||^2$ minimized, w = 0.25 for $|F_o| > 20$ and w = 1.0 for $|F_o| \le 20$; final R = 0.058 and $R_{\rm w} = 0.069$ for 3345 observed reflections;* complex neutral-atom scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974); F(000) =1188; calculations carried out on a FACOM M-160F computer at the Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, with UNICS III (Sakurai & Kobayashi, 1979); final atomic parameters in Table 1.

The absolute configuration was determined by the anomalous-scattering technique. The observed and calculated intensity differences, $|F(hkl)| - |F(h\bar{k}l)|$, of seven hkl and $h\bar{k}l$ pairs, for which $|F_o(hkl)|$ and $|F_o(h\bar{k}l)|$ differed by more than 50%, are compared in Table 2. The concordance in this table established the absolute structure listed in Table 1. In order to confirm this result, the enantiomeric structure was refined separately. It converged to R = 0.077 and $R_w = 0.092$ for 3345 observed reflections, and could be rejected at the 0.005 significance level (Hamilton, 1965).

© 1983 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and mean-square displacement tensors have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 38086 (26 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

^{0108-2701/83/010049-03\$01.50}

Table 1. Positional parameters $(\times 10^4; for H \times 10^3)$ and equivalent isotropic temperature factors (Hamilton, 1959)

| | x | У | Z | $B_{eo}(\dot{A}^2)$ |
|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Br(1) | 6153 (1) | 5000 | 4153 (1) | 4.2 |
| O(W1) | 5000 | 7271 (14) | 5000 | 4.9 |
| O(W2) | 9564 (6) | 6855 (13) | 6972 (7) | 5.7 |
| Br(2) | 8636 (1) | 6386 (2) | 8509 (1) | 3.9 |
| Br(3) | 6465 (1) | 5751 (1) | 9137 (1) | 3.4 |
| Co | 7776 (1) | 6075 (1) | 2096 (1) | 1.8 |
| N(1) | 8720 (5) | 7101 (10) | 1764 (5) | 2.3 |
| N(2) | 7799 (5) | 4628 (10) | 1090 (5) | 2.4 |
| N(3) | 6967 (5) | 7483 (9) | 1247 (6) | 2.6 |
| N(4) | 6720 (5) | 5064 (10) | 2213 (5) | 2.4 |
| P(1) | 7910 (2) | 7661 (3) | 3263 (2) | 2.2 |
| P(2) | 8588 (2) | 4502 (3) | 3101 (2) | 2.2 |
| C(1) | 8774 (7) | 6587 (13) | 868 (7) | 3.0 |
| C(2) | 8568 (7) | 4899 (13) | 771 (6) | 2.8 |
| C(3) | 6074 (7) | 7263 (13) | 1302 (8) | 3.4 |
| C(4) | 5985 (7) | 5578 (16) | 1466 (8) | 3.9 |
| C(5) | 8366 (7) | 6583 (12) | 4279 (7) | 2.8 |
| C(6) | 9048 (6) | 5565 (12) | 4120 (6) | 2.5 |
| C(7) | 7005 (7) | 8635 (14) | 3466 (8) | 3.5 |
| C(8) | 8658 (8) | 9196 (13) | 3283 (7) | 3.4 |
| C(9) | 9456 (8) | 3476 (14) | 2836 (8) | 3.5 |
| C(10) | 7990 (7) | 3021 (12) | 3477 (8) | 3.2 |
| H(C1)1 | 942 (7) | 679 (15) | 81 (8) | |
| H(C1)2 | 834 (7) | 725 (15) | 36 (8) | |
| H(C2)1 | 844 (7) | 456 (14) | 8 (8) | |
| H(C2)2 | 909 (8) | 423 (15) | 117 (8) | |
| H(C3)1 | 564 (8) | 764 (14) | 69 (9) | |
| H(C3)2 | 598 (7) | 795 (15) | 185 (8) | |
| H(C4)1 | 540 (7) | 536 (14) | 164 (8) | |
| H(C4)2 | 599 (8) | 493 (15) | 87 (8) | |
| H(C5)1 | 788 (7) | 591 (16) | 444 (8) | |
| H(C5)2 | 863 (7) | 738 (15) | 482 (8) | |
| H(C6)1 | 959 (7) | 625 (15) | 404 (8) | |
| H(C6)2 | 927 (7) | 478 (14) | 467 (8) | |
| H(C7)1 | 669 (8) | 933 (14) | 289 (8) | |
| H(C7)2 | 656 (7) | 782 (15) | 360 (8) | |
| H(C7)3 | 722 (8) | 940 (14) | 404 (8) | |
| H(C8)1 | 843 (7) | 992 (14) | 271 (8) | |
| H(C8)2 | 8/1(/) | 989 (15) | 388 (8) | |
| H(C8)3 | 927 (7) | 8/4(14) | 330 (8) | |
| H(C9)1 | 921 (7) | 282 (15) | 224 (8) | |
| H(C9)2 | 992 (8) | 428 (14) | 275 (8) | |
| H(C9)3 | 974 (8) | 271 (14) | 338 (8) | |
| H(C10)1 | /68 (/) | 229 (14) | 292 (8) | |
| H(C10)2 | 841 (8) | 232 (14) | 398 (8) | |
| | 131 (7) | 555 (14) | 370 (8) | |
| H(N1) | 932(7) | 082 (14) | 223 (8) | |
| $\Pi(N1)2$ | 703(7) | 492 (15) | 54 (8) | |
| L(N2)2 | 724 (7) | 402 (13) | J4 (0) 122 (9) | |
| H(N3)1 | 100 (1) 600 (7) | 773 (15) | 57 (8) | |
| H(N3)2 | 716 (7) | 866 (14) | 140 (8) | |
| H(N4)1 | 660 (7) | 538 (14) | 284 (8) | |
| H(N4)? | 670 (8) | 383 (14) | 219 (8) | |
| | 520 (7) | 645 (13) | 479 (8) | |
| H(0W2) | 949 (7) | 733 (15) | 666 (8) | |
| H(OW2)2 | 922 (7) | 580 (15) | 646 (8) | |
| AA(0 / / A/A | / / / / | ~~~~ | | |

| | Table 2. | Determination | of | the | absolute | configuratio | n |
|--|----------|----------------------|----|-----|----------|--------------|---|
|--|----------|----------------------|----|-----|----------|--------------|---|

| | | | F(hkl) - | <i>F(hkl)</i> |
|----------|---|---|-----------|---------------|
| h | k | l | obs. | calc. |
| 6 | 4 | 0 | 13.3 | 10.4 |
| <u> </u> | 3 | 3 | -11.2 | -7.8 |
| 12 | 2 | 4 | -7.8 | -7.3 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | -6.9 | -8.6 |
| 3 | 3 | 6 | -11.6 | -14.9 |
| 3 | 1 | 7 | -7.2 | -8.9 |
| 4 | 4 | 9 | -6.9 | -7.9 |

Discussion. A perspective view of the complex cation is shown in Fig. 1. The complex has a pseudo twofold axis through the Co and the midpoint of the C(5)-C(6)bond of the dmpe ligand. The central Co atom is surrounded octahedrally by the two P atoms of dmpe and the four N atoms of the ethylenediamine (en) ligands. The absolute configuration of the present complex is Λ in agreement with the prediction based on the CD spectrum (Ohishi, Kashiwabara & Fujita, 1980). The empirical rule for tris-chelated d^6 or d^3 complexes with five-membered chelate rings states that those complexes showing a positive CD band on the longer-wavelength side of the first absorption region have absolute configuration Λ (Saito, 1979). Bond lengths and bond angles are listed in Table 3. A trans influence of the P atoms on the Co–N bond distances is observed. N(2) and N(3) are in the *trans* position with respect to the coordinated P(1) and P(2), respectively. The mean value of the Co-N(2) and Co-N(3) bonds is 2.032 (8) Å, which is longer by 0.045 Å than that of the Co-N(1) and Co-N(4) bonds. The *trans* influence of the P atoms was also recognized in $(+)_{589}$ - Δ fac-[Co{NH₂CH₂CH₂P(CH₃)₂]₃]Br₃.3H₂O (Kinoshita et al., 1981). The conformation of the five-membered chelate ring formed by the dmpe ligand is presented in Fig. 2. It takes a λ symmetrical skew conformation. The dihedral angle P(1)-C(5)-C(6)-P(2) is 51.4 (8)°, which is a little greater than the N-C-C-N angles of



Fig. 1. An ORTEP drawing of the complex ion with thermal ellipsoids scaled at the 50% probability level (Johnson, 1965). H atoms are represented by circles of radius 0.08 Å.



Fig. 2. The dmpe ligand viewed along the line through the Co atom and the midpoint of the P(1)-P(2) axis. The Co-P-CH₃ bond angles (°) are shown.

the en ligands, $45 \cdot 8$ (11) and $48 \cdot 8$ (11)°. The P-Co-P angle is $84 \cdot 2$ (1)°, which is nearly the same as the mean N-Co-N angle of $84 \cdot 4$ (4)° spanned by the en chelate rings. Mean Co-P and P-C bond distances are $2 \cdot 256$ (3) and $1 \cdot 823$ (13) Å, respectively. The Co-P(1)-C(7) and Co-P(2)-C(9) angles average $120 \cdot 8$ (4)°, greater than the mean of $113 \cdot 3$ (4)° for the Co-P(1)-C(8) and Co-P(2)-C(10) angles, as a result of the staggered configuration of the C(5)-C(6) ethylene group.

Fig. 3 shows a projection of the structure along **b**. The O(W1) atoms lie on twofold axes parallel to **b**. Water molecules O(W1) and O(W2) are linked to the Br(1)⁻ ion by weak hydrogen bonds: Br(1)...O(W1) = 3.26 (1), Br(1)...O(W2) = 3.32 (1) Å. Two complex cations related by a unit translation along **b** are linked

Table 3. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

| Co-N(1) | 1.976 (9) | P(1) - C(5) | 1.834 (10) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Co-N(2) | 2.034 (8) | P(1) - C(7) | 1.813 (13) |
| Co-N(3) | 2.030 (8) | P(1) - C(8) | 1.817 (13) |
| Co-N(4) | 1.998 (8) | P(2) - C(6) | 1.832 (9) |
| Co-P(1) | 2.264 (3) | P(2) - C(9) | 1.823 (13) |
| Co-P(2) | 2.248 (3) | P(2) - C(10) | 1.820 (12) |
| N(1)-C(1) | 1.502 (14) | C(1) - C(2) | 1.520 (16) |
| N(2)-C(2) | 1.493 (15) | C(3) - C(4) | 1.517 (18) |
| N(3) - C(3) | 1.502 (15) | C(5)-C(6) | 1.503 (15) |
| N(4)-C(4) | 1.510 (13) | - (-) - (-) | |
| $N(1) = C_{0} = N(2)$ | 84.5 (4) | C_{0} N(4) $C(4)$ | 100 2 (6) |
| $N(1) = C_0 = N(2)$ $N(1) = C_0 = N(3)$ | 88.2 (2) | $C_0 = R(1) = C(4)$ | 109.2(0) |
| N(1) = Co = N(3) N(1) = Co = N(4) | 170.3 (4) | $C_0 = P(1) = C(3)$ | 108.3(3) |
| $N(1) = C_0 = P(1)$ | 91.0 (3) | $C_0 = P(1) = C(7)$ | 121.0(4) 112.1(4) |
| $N(1) = C_0 = P(2)$ | 96.0 (3) | C(5) = P(1) = C(3) | 104.8 (5) |
| $N(2) = C_0 = N(3)$ | 90.9 (3) | C(5) = P(1) = C(7) | 104.5 (5) |
| $N(2) - C_0 - N(4)$ | 89.3 (3) | C(7) = P(1) = C(8) | 103.1 (6) |
| $N(2) - C_0 - P(1)$ | 173.6 (2) | $C_{0} = P(2) = C(6)$ | 100.2 (3) |
| $N(2) = C_0 = P(2)$ | 91.7(2) | $C_0 = P(2) = C(0)$ | 110.0 (1) |
| N(3) - Co - N(4) | 84.3 (3) | $C_0 = P(2) = C(10)$ | 113.4(4) |
| $N(3) - C_0 - P(1)$ | 93.5 (2) | C(6) = P(2) = C(9) | 106.6 (5) |
| $N(3) - C_0 - P(2)$ | $175 \cdot 1 (2)$ | C(6) = P(2) = C(10) | 102.4 (5) |
| $N(4) - C_0 - P(1)$ | 95.7 (3) | C(9) - P(2) - C(10) | 103.9 (6) |
| $N(4) - C_0 - P(2)$ | 91.7(3) | N(1) - C(1) - C(2) | 108.6 (9) |
| $P(1) - C_0 - P(2)$ | 84.2(1) | N(2) - C(2) - C(1) | 108.0 (9) |
| $C_0 - N(1) - C(1)$ | 110.8 (6) | N(3) - C(3) - C(4) | 106.0 (9) |
| $C_0 - N(2) - C(2)$ | 110.5(6) | N(4) - C(4) - C(3) | 109.3 (9) |
| $C_0 - N(3) - C(3)$ | 111.2(7) | P(1) = C(5) = C(6) | 108.2(7) |
| | | P(2) - C(6) - C(5) | 107.2(7) |
| | | | |



Fig. 3. A projection of the crystal structure along **b**. The hatched ellipsoids indicate Br^- ions. Hydrogen bonds are marked by broken lines.

to $Br(2)^-$ and $Br(3)^-$ anions by weak hydrogen bonds: N(3)-H(N3)2...Br(2)...H(N4)2-N(4) and N(1)-H(N1)2...Br(3)...H(N2)2-N(2). The distances Br...N and Br...H(N) are 3.4-3.7 and 2.4-2.9 Å, respectively.

The authors thank the Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, for the use of the Rigaku AFC-3 diffractometer and the FACOM M-160F computer. Part of the cost of this investigation was met by a Scientific Research Grant from The Ministry of Education, to which the authors' thanks are due.

References

- HAMILTON, W. C. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 609-610.
- HAMILTON, W. C. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 502-510.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- KINOSHITA, I., KASHIWABARA, K., FUJITA, J., MATSUMOTO, K. & OOI, S. (1981). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 54, 2683–2690.
- OHISHI, T., KASHIWABARA, K. & FUJITA, J. (1980). 30th Conf. on Coord. Chem., Tokyo, Japan. Abstr. No. 2A17.
- SAITO, Y. (1979). Inorganic Molecular Dissymmetry, pp. 132–137. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- SAKURAI, T. & KOBAYASHI, K. (1979). Rikagaku Kenkyusho Hokoku, 55, 69–77.